

It's Time for Technology Companies to Take a More Nuanced Approach to Pay in China

As the Chinese technology market matures, using overly-simplistic discount factors to set pay between cities isn't working as well as it once did. It's time to dig deeper.

The technology sector in China is maturing rapidly—from the ongoing expansion of the local semiconductor industry to the transformation of manufacturing by robotics to the digitization of almost every business process in every business sector. This is leading to dramatic changes in how and where companies operate. Importantly, as these forces accelerate, greater differentiation in compensation practices, from city to city and region to region, is on the rise.

Traditionally, rewards professionals working on pay in China have focused most of their attention on China's four "Tier 1" cities, which include Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou and Shenzhen. These cities are all densely populated economically developed areas on the east coast of China that rank highest on the government's list of development priorities. In terms of scale, these cities all have gross domestic products of more than US \$300 billion and populations in excess of 15 million people.

Using detailed benchmark data from Tier 1 cities as a starting point, it is still not uncommon for rewards professionals to apply standard discount factors to estimate pay in "Tier 2" and "Tier 3" cities. For example, after salary ranges are created based on Tier 1 city data, pay in every Tier 2 city might be universally set to 85% of those levels. However, it's time to question this approach, especially within the technology sector.

Thanks to more government support and robust growth in the technology sector, Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities are all attracting more investment and companies. Businesses in these cities include both local startups and outposts for larger firms expanding their operations to take advantage of new customers, lower operating costs, lower living costs and strong local universities.

Yet, not all Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities are maturing at the same rate. Unlike Tier 1 cities, Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities are spread across all of China and, therefore, represent a much wider diversity of geographic situations and economic, infrastructure and talent development levels. In sum, talent and rewards practices in these cities are no longer moving in lock-step.

To test this notion, we used the latest results from the [Radford Global Technology Survey](#), which can report data on specific compensation levels in more than 40 Chinese cities. Unsurprisingly, as Figure 1 below illustrates, our analysis found that pay levels range widely from city to city in China for technology jobs.

Figure 1
Average Pay in Select Tier 2 Cities as a Percentage of Tier 1 Cities

Select Tier 2 Cities	All Technology Jobs	All Multi-Industry Jobs
Xi'an	91%	83%
Dalian	88%	84%
Wuhan	85%	82%
Chengdu	79%	84%
Xiamen	79%	85%
Wuxi	74%	85%
Nanjing	73%	86%

Source: Radford Global Technology Survey; C&B Study City Differential Index Report from Aon Hewitt China

Per Figure 1, average pay for technology jobs in the Tier 2 city of Xi'an is already at 91% of Tier 1 cities, but in Nanjing, average pay levels are only 73% of Tier 1 cities. As a result, while a general discount factor of 85% is still largely accurate for general industry jobs, using a generic approach could lead a company to wildly under- or over-pay for key technical talent.

Digging deeper, geographic pay differentials can also differ within job families depending on factors like local talent availability and demand. What's more, differentials within job families don't necessarily follow the same general patterns observed across all technology jobs. Figure 2 demonstrates this point.

Figure 2
Average Pay in Select Tier 2 Cities as a Percentage of Tier 1 Cities for Field Service Engineers

Select Tier 2 Cities	Field Service Engineers
Dalian	94%
Xi'an	94%
Chengdu	92%
Wuhan	88%
Xiamen	88%
Nanjing	82%
Wuxi	79%

Source: Radford Global Technology Survey

Another way to view geographic pay differentials is by job level. For example, average pay for management jobs in the Tier 2 city of Dalian are 92% of Tier 1 cities. Yet, for individual contributor job roles in Dalian, average pay drops to 78% of Tier 1 cities. Across cities, jobs types and job levels, detailed benchmarking reveals a startling array of geographic pay differentials that cannot possibly be fairly represented by a universal discount factor. As a result, there is only one conclusion to draw: rewards professionals need to consider taking a more detailed approach to benchmarking in China's Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities.

Next Steps

Until very recently, avoiding regional benchmarking in China was a viable strategy. Most companies either had operations in only one location (usually a major market) or found that data sources were too limited across both major and minor labor markets to provide for useful analysis. The growth of the technology sector in China is changing this. As companies expand their geographic footprint in the country and competitive pressures increase, it is increasingly advantageous to take a more city-focused view toward benchmarking pay. And companies that adopt this approach sooner rather than later will enjoy a competitive advantage.

As a result, we no longer recommend applying a blanket pay differential factor between cities or regions in China whenever truly local data can be used. Looking at pay differentials through a variety of lenses, including job roles, job levels and between specific cities will help companies set pay in far more accurate manner.

To speak with a member of our compensation consulting group about benchmarking strategies, please write to consulting@radford.com. To learn about participating in a Radford survey, please [contact our team](#).

Author Contact Information

Marie Brinkman

Associate Partner, Radford
Aon | Talent, Rewards and Performance
+1.415.518.2371
mbrinkman@radford.com

Tim Brown

Partner, Radford
Aon | Talent, Rewards & Performance
+1.408.321.2516
tbrown@radford.com

About Radford

Radford delivers talent and rewards expertise to technology and life sciences companies. We empower the world's most innovative organizations—at every stage of development—to hire, retain and engage the amazing people they need to create amazing things. Today, our surveys provide in-depth rewards insights in 80-plus countries to more than 3,000 client organizations, and our consultants work with hundreds of firms annually to design talent and rewards programs for boards of directors, executives, employees and sales professionals. Radford is part of the Talent, Rewards & Performance practice at Aon plc (NYSE: AON). For more information, please visit radford.aon.com.

About Aon

Aon plc (NYSE:AON) is a leading global professional services firm providing a broad range of risk, retirement and health solutions. Our 50,000 colleagues in 120 countries empower results for clients by using proprietary data and analytics to deliver insights that reduce volatility and improve performance. For further information on our capabilities and to learn how we empower results for clients, please visit aon.mediaroom.com.

This article provides general information for reference purposes only. Readers should not use this article as a replacement for legal, tax, accounting or consulting advice that is specific to the facts and circumstances of their business. We encourage readers to consult with appropriate advisors before acting on any of the information contained in this article.

The contents of this article may not be reused, reprinted or redistributed without the expressed written consent of Radford. To use information in this article, please [write to our team](#).

© 2017 Aon plc. All rights reserved